

# UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER	
ADA800545	
CLASSIFICATION CHANGES	
TO:	unclassified
FROM:	confidential
LIMITATION CHANGES	
TO: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.	
FROM: Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1947. Other requests shall be referred to National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.	
AUTHORITY	
14 Dec 1953 per NACA Research Abstracts no. 57 dtd 29 Jan 1954; NASA TR Server website	

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

# Reproduction Quality Notice

This document is part of the Air Technical Index [ATI] collection. The ATI collection is over 50 years old and was imaged from roll film. The collection has deteriorated over time and is in poor condition. DTIC has reproduced the best available copy utilizing the most current imaging technology. ATI documents that are partially legible have been included in the DTIC collection due to their historical value.

If you are dissatisfied with this document, please feel free to contact our Directorate of User Services at [703] 767-9066/9068 or DSN 427-9066/9068.

**Do Not Return This Document  
To DTIC**

Reproduced by  
**AIR DOCUMENTS DIVISION**



**HEADQUARTERS AIR MATERIEL COMMAND**

**WRIGHT FIELD, DAYTON, OHIO**

*The*  
**U.S. GOVERNMENT**

**IS ABSOLVED**

**FROM ANY LITIGATION WHICH MAY**

**ENSUE FROM THE CONTRACTORS IN -**

**FRINGING ON THE FOREIGN PATENT**

**RIGHTS WHICH MAY BE INVOLVED.**

REEL - C

1 1 8

A.T.I.

4 8 4 1

Copy No. 61

CONFIDENTIAL

RM No. L7B20



RECEIVED  
JUN 6 - 1947

# RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

ATI No. **4841**

COMPARATIVE DRAG MEASUREMENTS AT TRANSONIC SPEEDS OF  
6-PERCENT-THICK AIRFOILS OF SYMMETRICAL DOUBLE-WEDGE  
AND CIRCULAR-ARC SECTIONS FROM TESTS BY THE  
NACA WING-FLOW METHOD

By Norman S. Silsby

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory  
Langley Field, Va.

This document contains classified information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 1879 (41 Stat. 1163) and 50, U.S.C. 32 (a). Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. Information so classified may be imparted only to persons in the military and naval services of the United States, appropriate civilian officers and employees of the Federal Government who have a legitimate interest therein, and to United States citizens of known loyalty and discretion who of necessity must be informed thereof.

AIR DOCUMENTS DIVISION, T-2  
AMC, WRIGHT FIELD  
MICROFILM No. 1  
RG-118 F 4841

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON  
April 8, 1947

CONFIDENTIAL

NACA RM No. L7B20

CONFIDENTIAL

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

COMPARATIVE DRAG MEASUREMENTS AT TRANSONIC SPEEDS OF  
6-PERCENT-THICK AIRFOILS OF SYMMETRICAL DOUBLE-WEDGE

AND CIRCULAR-ARC SECTIONS FROM TESTS BY THE

NACA WING-FLOW METHOD

By Norman S. Eilsby

SUMMARY

Comparative drag measurements at zero lift have been obtained at transonic speeds for two sharp-leading-edge airfoils by the NACA wing-flow method. One airfoil had a symmetrical circular-arc section and the other had a symmetrical double-wedge section. Both airfoils had a thickness of 6 percent of the chord, were of rectangular plan form, and had an aspect ratio of 4.0. The tests covered a Mach number range of 0.65 to 1.10.

The results indicated that the principal difference in the drag characteristics of the two airfoils at zero lift is the earlier drag rise of the double-wedge section. Although the double-wedge airfoil had a somewhat higher drag throughout the Mach number range tested, the difference decreased with increasing Mach number after the onset of the drag rise of the circular-arc section, and at the highest Mach number attained, 1.10, the drag coefficient for the two airfoils was about the same.

INTRODUCTION

As part of an extensive research program being conducted by the NACA to determine the suitability of various airfoil sections for controllable flights through the transonic and into the supersonic speed range, two sharp-leading-edge airfoils have been tested in the transonic speed range by the NACA wing-flow method. One airfoil had a symmetrical circular-arc section and the other had a symmetrical double-wedge section. The measurements included: normal force, chord force, and pitching moment at various angles of attack. Mach numbers of the tests ranged from 0.65 to 1.10.

CONFIDENTIAL

Although results of the tests are not as yet completely evaluated, sufficient data are available to permit a comparison of the drag at zero lift of the two airfoils. These data are presented herein.

#### APPARATUS, METHODS, AND TESTS

The test procedure and equipment were essentially the same as those for previous wing-flow tests of airfoils as described in references 1 and 2. The arrangement and dimensions of the two models are shown in figure 1. One of the models had a symmetrical circular-arc section and the other had a symmetrical double-wedge section. Otherwise the model dimensions were the same with a thickness of 6 percent, a rectangular plan form, and an aspect ratio of 4.0, considering the airplane wing as a reflection plane. The airfoils were mounted above the wing of the airplane with a circular end plate attached to the end of the airfoil adjacent to the wing surface, as indicated in figure 2. Although special tests were made to determine the tare drag of the end plate, the correction was not completely established and is not applied to the data presented herein. The chordwise velocity gradients in the test region on the airplane wing as determined from static-pressure measurements at the wing surface with the model off are indicated in figure 3. The effect of these gradients is not known; therefore, no correction has been attempted. However, velocities measured at the wing surface have been corrected by a factor of 0.98, as determined from special tests, to account for the decrease in velocity with distance from the wing surface.

Three tests of each airfoil were made in high-speed dives to obtain a range of Reynolds number independent of Mach number. The first dive covered an altitude range from 28,000 to 22,000 feet, the second from 18,000 to 12,000 feet, and the third dive from 12,000 to 6000 feet.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The drag coefficients at zero lift of the airfoils with double-wedge and circular-arc sections are plotted against Mach number in figures 4(a) and 4(b), respectively, for each of the three tests. The variation of Reynolds number with Mach number for the three tests is shown in figure 4(c). It is indicated by the test points

CONFIDENTIAL



of figures 4(a) and 4(b) that any effect on the drag due to the differences in Reynolds numbers for the three tests is within the experimental accuracy.

The absolute values of drag coefficients in figures 4(a) and 4(b) are too high, due to the drag of the end plate. It was indicated from the special tests previously mentioned that the drag coefficient of the end plate might be of the order of 0.07 based on the area of the models. It appeared, however, that the drag coefficient of the end plate was relatively constant over the Mach number range and hence would be expected to have little effect on the variation of drag coefficient with Mach number shown for the airfoils.

The drag coefficients shown in figures 4(a) and 4(b) are plotted together for comparison in figure 5. The principal difference in drag characteristics of the two airfoils is the earlier drag rise with the double-wedge section which occurs at a Mach number of about 0.7<sup>0</sup> as compared to 0.85 with the circular-arc airfoil. The drag reaches a maximum at about the same Mach number, 0.99, for both airfoils. The double-wedge airfoil has a somewhat higher drag throughout the Mach number range tested, but the difference decreases with increasing Mach number after the onset of the drag rise of the circular-arc section. At the highest Mach number attained, 1.10, the drag coefficient of the two airfoils is about the same.

The results of drag tests of a symmetrical circular-arc section obtained by the free-fall method (reference 3) and by tests in the Langley rectangular high-speed tunnel (reference 4), and results of drag tests of a double-wedge section obtained in the Ames 1- by  $\frac{1}{2}$ -foot high-speed tunnel (unpublished data), are also shown for comparison in figure 5. The results of the free-fall tests of the circular-arc section show close agreement with the present tests with regard to the magnitude of the drag rise and the Mach numbers at which the drag break, and the maximum drag coefficient occur. The difference in absolute magnitude of the drag coefficients of the present tests and the free-fall test is probably mainly due to the drag of the end plate used in the present investigation. The results of the wind-tunnel tests agree with the present tests in that the start of the drag rise with the double-wedge airfoil occurs at a substantially lower Mach number than with the airfoil of circular-arc section. The wind-tunnel tests show a somewhat earlier and steeper drag rise than is

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

NACA RM No. L7B20

indicated by the present investigation. The results of reference 5 show that these differences would be expected because of the different aspect ratios used for the wind-tunnel and wing-flow tests.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The results of comparative drag tests at zero lift on airfoils with a symmetrical double-wedge section and a symmetrical circular-arc section indicate that the principal difference in the drag characteristics of the two airfoils is the earlier drag rise with the double-wedge section. Although the double-wedge airfoil had a somewhat higher drag throughout the Mach number range tested, the difference decreased with increasing Mach number after the onset of the drag rise of the circular-arc section, and at the highest Mach number attained, 1.10, the drag coefficient for the two airfoils was about the same.

National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics  
Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory  
Langley Field, Va.

CONFIDENTIAL

## REFERENCES

1. Gilruth, R. R., and Wetmore, J. W.: Preliminary Tests of Several Airfoils Models in the Transonic Speed Range. NACA ACR No. L5E08, 1945.
2. Zalovcik, John A., and Adams, Richard E.: Preliminary Tests at Transonic Speeds of a Model of a Constant-Chord Wing with a Sweepback of  $45^\circ$  and an NACA 65(112)-210,  $a = 1.0$  Airfoil Section. NACA ACR No. L5J16a, 1945.
3. Thompson, Jim Rogers, and Harrchner, Bernard W.: Comparative Drag Measurements at Transonic Speeds of an NACA 65-006 Airfoil and a Symmetrical Circular-Arc Airfoil. NACA RM No. L6J30, 1946.
4. Lindsey, W. F., Daley, Bernard N., and Humphreys, Milton D.: The Flow and Force Characteristics of Supersonic Airfoils at High Subsonic Speeds. NACA TN No. 1211, 1946.
5. Stack, John, and Lindsey, W. F.: Characteristics of Low-Aspect-Ratio Wings at Supercritical Mach Numbers. NACA ACR No. L5J16, 1945.

CONFIDENTIAL




Figure 1.- Sketch of airfoils tested. All dimensions in inches.

1

Fig. 2

NACA RM No. L7B20




Figure 2.- Detail of mounting sharp-leading-edge airfoil on airplane wing.

8





Figure 3.- Typical chordwise variation of Mach number in the test region on the airplane wing for several Mach numbers at the model station.


9

Fig. 4


NACA RM No. L7B20



(c) Reynolds number for tests



(b)  $C_D$  at zero lift for circular-arc



(a)  $C_D$  at zero lift for double-wedge

Figure 4.- Variation of drag coefficient for zero lift and Reynolds number with Mach number for airfoils with 6 percent thick circular-arc and double-wedge airfoil sections.

## CONFIDENTIAL

Symbol	Airfoil	Aspect Ratio	Reynolds Numbers	Test Method	Reference
1	— circular-arc	4.0	$.31 \times 10^6$ - $.85 \times 10^6$	Wing-Flow	Present Tests
2	— double-wedge	4.0	$.31 \times 10^6$ - $.85 \times 10^6$	Wing-Flow	Present Tests
3	— circular-arc	7.6	$1.6 \times 10^6$ - $5.0 \times 10^6$	Free-Fall	3
4	— circular-arc	$\infty$	$.5 \times 10^6$ - $1.0 \times 10^6$	Langley HST	4
5	— double-wedge	$\infty$	$1.0 \times 10^6$ - $2.0 \times 10^6$	AAL 1- by $\frac{3}{4}$ -foot HST	5




Figure 5.- Comparison of variation of drag coefficient at zero lift with Mach number for 6-percent thick circular-arc and double-wedge airfoil sections tested by different methods.



REEL - C

1 1 8

A.T.I.

4 8 4 1

Silsby, N. S.

DIVISION: Aerodynamics (2)

SECTION: Wings and Airfoils (6)

CROSS REFERENCES: Airfoils - Drag (08200); Airfoils -  
Lift (08210); Aerodynamic, Transonic  
(02300)

AUTHOR(S)

ATI- 4841

ORIG. AGENCY NUMBER

RM-L7B20

REVISION

AMER. TITLE: Comparative drag measurements at transonic speeds of 6-percent-thick airfoils  
of symmetrical double-wedges and circular-arc sections from tests by the NACA  
wing-flow method

FORG'N. TITLE:

ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C.

TRANSLATION:

COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	FORG'N. CLASS.	U. S. CLASS.	DATE	PAGES	ILLUS.	FEATURES
U. S.	Eng.			Apr '47	10	5	diags, graphs

## ABSTRACT

Results of comparative drag tests at zero lift on wings with symmetrical double-wedge section and symmetrical circular-arc section indicate that principal difference in drag characteristics is earlier drag increase with double-wedge section. Although double-wedge wing had somewhat higher drag throughout Mach number range tested, difference decreased with increasing Mach number after onset of drag increase of circular-arc section. At highest Mach number obtained, 1.10, drag coefficient for two sections was about the same.

NOTE: Requests for copies of this report must be addressed to: N.A.C.A.,  
Washington, D. C.

auth: naca Res. Abstracts No. 57, 29 Jan '54

(23) \* Aerodynamic Drag  
Transonic Characteristics  
Wing Body Configurations

Silsby, N. S.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

C-2-6-20

ATI- 4841

ORIG. AGENCY NUMBER

RM-L7B20

REVISION

AUTHOR(S)

DIVISION: Aerodynamics (2)  
 SECTION: Wings and Airfoils (6)  
 CROSS REFERENCES: Airfoils - Drag (08200); Airfoils -  
 Lift (08210); Aerodynamic, Transonic  
 (02300)

AMER. TITLE: Comparative drag measurements at transonic speeds of 6-percent-thick airfoils  
 of asymmetrical double-wedge and circular-arc sections from tests by the NACA  
 wing-flow method  
 FORG'N. TITLE:

ORIGINATING AGENCY: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington, D. C.

TRANSLATION:

COUNTRY	LANGUAGE	FORG'N. CLASS	U. S. CLASS.	DATE	PAGES	ILLUS.	FEATURES
U.S.	Eng.		<del>CONFIDENTIAL</del>	Apr '47	10	5	diags, graphs

## ABSTRACT

Results of comparative drag tests at zero lift on wings with symmetrical double-wedge section and symmetrical circular-arc section indicate that principal difference in drag characteristics is earlier drag increase with double-wedge section. Although double-wedge wing had somewhat higher drag throughout Mach number range tested, difference decreased with increasing Mach number after onset of drag increase of circular-arc section. At highest Mach number obtained, 1.10, drag coefficient for two sections was about the same.

NOTE: Requests for copies of this report must be addressed to: N.A.C.A.,  
 Washington, D. C.

T-2, HQ., AIR MATERIEL COMMAND

AIR TECHNICAL INDEX  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

WRIGHT FIELD, OHIO, USAAF

WFO-21 MAR 47 1341